



journal
of ethics
in higher education

AI in Higher Education

Academic Integrity, Harmony of Insights,
and Recommendations

David S. Fowler,

University of Louisiana Shreveport,

United States

December 2023

Keywords

AI, higher education, academic integrity, education ethics

Abstract

This scholarly inquiry examines the interplay between artificial intelligence (AI) and academic integrity within higher education. Through a comprehensive synthesis of academic literature, the study delves into the multifaceted implications of AI tools on academic practices, pedagogical approaches, and the evolving landscape of academic integrity within higher education. The findings, derived from an extensive analysis of scholarly works, offer profound insights into the challenges posed by the integration of AI in higher education. The impact on academic dishonesty, the nuances of pedagogical shifts, and the dynamic relationship between students and AI are scrutinized, contributing to a nuanced comprehension of the intricate dynamics within the academy.

Corresponding Author: Dr David S. Fowler, University of Louisiana Shreveport, david.fowler@lsus.edu To quote this article: Fowler, David S. 2023. "AI in Higher Education: Academic Integrity, Harmony of Insights, and Recommendations" *Journal of Ethics in Higher Education* 3(2023): 127–143. DOI: 10.26034/fr.jehe.2023.4657 © the Author. CC BY-NC-SA 4.0. Visit <https://www.jehe.globethics.net>

1. Introduction

The assimilation of artificial intelligence (AI) into the fabric of higher education marks a pivotal moment in the evolution of academia. As we stand at the crossroads of traditional pedagogy and technological innovation, the ramifications on academic integrity beckon our attention. This section aims to not only delineate the research problem and objectives, but also to engage in a discourse that resonates with the contemporary challenges facing higher education.

The advent of AI technologies in higher education heralds a paradigm shift, promising unparalleled opportunities for personalized learning and knowledge acquisition. However, this metamorphosis is not without its challenges, and at the forefront is the palpable concern surrounding academic integrity. The traditional notion of students engaging in rigorous, independent scholarship faces an unprecedented conundrum as AI becomes an omnipresent ally in the academic journey. This study endeavours to unravel the complex tapestry woven by the intersection of AI and academic integrity, with a keen eye on the potential “dumbing down” effect on higher education.

2. Literature Review

Historical Evolution of AI in Education

The historical trajectory of artificial intelligence (AI) within the educational domain is a narrative that unfolds across decades, embodying a profound evolution from embryonic experiments in computer-assisted instruction to the intricate tapestry of sophisticated technologies (Mills et al., 2022). Initiatives in the 1960s marked the nascent phases, exploring the use of computers as educational instruments (Moncaleano & Russell, 2018). This epoch witnessed seminal advancements, such as the inception of intelligent tutoring systems and the development of adaptive learning platforms, underscoring the symbiotic relationship between technology and pedagogy (Graf, 2023).

As we traverse the annals of AI in education, the historical evolution underscores not only technological strides but also the pedagogical ambitions

driving these innovations. Early experiments paved the way for AI's contemporary role as a pedagogical companion, fostering personalized learning experiences. Intelligent tutoring systems emerged as stalwarts in adapting to individual student needs, offering a glimpse into the potentialities and challenges of integrating AI into the educational milieu (Littman et al., 2022).

AI and Academic Dishonesty

The ascendancy of AI tools has empowered students with unprecedented access to resources, challenging the very foundations of academic integrity. As such, “exponential leaps in information-processing power have coalesced with the omnipresent data extraction capabilities of an ever more dynamic, integrated, and connected digital world to provide a fecund spawning ground for the explosion of AI/ML technologies” (Leslie, 2020, p.3). Instances of plagiarism, the automated generation of essays, and real-time assistance during examinations have burgeoned, propelling the academic community into a realm fraught with ethical quandaries (Tahir & Tahir, 2023). The extant literature scrutinizes these AI-driven academic transgressions, providing a comprehensive understanding of the contemporary academic milieu.

In the intricate dance between students and AI, a symbiosis has emerged that demands scrutiny. The literature resounds with tales of students leveraging AI not merely as tools but as accomplices in circumventing the rigor of academic inquiry. Plagiarism, once a manual endeavor, now finds automated expression through AI-generated content, testing the ethical boundaries of education “in the rapidly changing landscape of the digital era” (Simon, 2023, p.16). The very essence of academic honesty is questioned as students traverse the fine line between collaboration and exploitation facilitated by these technological marvels (Kraglund-Gauthier, 2015).

Moreover, a nuanced examination of the ethical implications surrounding AI-driven academic dishonesty reveals the complex terrain where the responsibilities of educators, institutions, and technology intersect (Lim et al., 2023). The evolving landscape demands not only a reactive stance against

transgressions but a proactive strategy to instill ethical values within the academic community.

AI and Educational Equity

Integral to this review is the scrutiny of AI's impact on educational equity, delving into concerns regarding the inadvertent exacerbation of existing educational disparities. While AI promises customized learning experiences, concerns arise regarding its potential to perpetuate biases, disadvantaging specific demographic cohorts (Lazarus et al., 2022). This critical exploration of the intersectionality between AI and equity becomes imperative for crafting interventions that espouse inclusivity and equity in the educational milieu.

As we navigate the uncharted waters of AI and education equity, a paradox unfolds. While AI heralds the promise of customized learning experiences, the specter of bias looms large. Algorithms, albeit designed with the noble intention of personalization, carry the latent potential to reinforce existing disparities. The literature resounds with a call for vigilant oversight, urging educators and policymakers to navigate the ethical tightrope to ensure AI becomes a force for educational inclusivity rather than a harbinger of further inequities (Magnússon et al., 2019).

Additionally, a deeper exploration into the potential mitigating factors and interventions that can counterbalance the inadvertent biases perpetuated by AI systems is imperative. The literature suggests the need for a proactive approach that intertwines technological advancements with a robust understanding of the sociocultural contexts in which these technologies are deployed (Bozdag, 2023).

Pedagogical Implications of AI Integration

The assimilation of AI in education transcends considerations of academic integrity and extends into the pedagogical realm. Research endeavors have contemplated the enrichment of teaching methodologies through AI-driven technologies, encompassing personalized feedback mechanisms and adaptation to diverse learning styles (Shamkuwar & Sharma, 2023). This

facet of the literature review plumbs the expansive educational landscape, underscoring the constructive facets of AI incorporation while cognizant of its attendant challenges.

The pedagogical landscape, reshaped by the advent of AI, is a tapestry woven with both promise and trepidation. As educators navigate the integration of AI into teaching methodologies, the potential for transformative change echoes through the literature. Intelligent tutoring systems stand as beacons of adaptability, catering to the unique learning styles of individual students (Lin et al., 2023). However, this utopian vision is not devoid of challenges. The delicate balance between human intuition and machine precision requires careful calibration to harness the full potential of AI in fostering effective and inclusive pedagogical practices (Miao & Holmes, 2021).

Furthermore, a deeper exploration into the nuances of AI's impact on the teacher-student dynamic and the evolving roles of educators in AI-integrated classrooms reveals a complex interplay that demands scholarly attention (Kim, 2023). The literature suggests that educators' preparedness, attitudes, and strategies in embracing AI play a pivotal role in determining the success of these technological integrations (Leoste et al., 2021).

3. Methodology

This study adopts a systematic approach to gather and analyze relevant academic literature concerning the intersection of artificial intelligence (AI) and academic integrity within colleges and universities. The goal is to provide a comprehensive review based on scholarly publications. The following methodology outlines the rigorous methods employed in literature search, selection, and analysis.

Literature Search

To ensure a thorough exploration of the subject matter, a meticulous literature search was conducted across electronic libraries and databases known for their academic rigor. The electronic libraries of Louisiana State University Shreveport, New Mexico State University, and Lander University served as primary repositories for accessing scholarly works. Databases such as *Journal of Ethics in Higher Education* 3 (2023)

EBSCO, Google Scholar, and Business Source Complete were systematically queried to retrieve pertinent academic literature.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The selection process employed stringent inclusion and exclusion criteria to uphold the academic rigor of the study. Inclusion criteria encompassed academic articles, peer-reviewed journals, conference papers, and books published within the last decade (2013-2023) to ensure relevance and contemporaneity. The focus was specifically on works that addressed the intersection of artificial intelligence and academic integrity within the domain of tertiary education. Non-English publications were excluded to maintain linguistic consistency.

Search Parameters

The search parameters were designed to cast a wide net while maintaining specificity. Keywords such as “artificial intelligence,” “academic integrity,” “higher education,” and their variations were used in different combinations to capture a diverse range of literature. Boolean operators (AND, OR) were strategically employed to refine search queries and ensure a comprehensive but focused collection of academic works.

Database Utilization

Each database was approached with methodological rigor, employing its unique search algorithms and functionalities. EBSCO, known for its comprehensive coverage, facilitated searches across multiple databases, including Education Source, PsycINFO, and ERIC. Google Scholar, a widely used interdisciplinary database, provided a broad spectrum of academic sources as well as Business Source Complete.

Analysis Process

The retrieved literature underwent a meticulous analysis to distill key findings. The analysis encompassed a qualitative synthesis of themes, trends, and insights prevalent in the selected academic works. A thematic analysis

approach was employed, allowing for the identification of recurring patterns, emergent concepts, and divergent perspectives. The findings were then synthesized to contribute to the broader understanding of the impact of AI on academic integrity within higher education, as presented in the subsequent sections.

Rigor in Article Selection

The process of article selection adhered to a rigorous methodology (Fan et al., 2022) to guarantee the reliability and validity of the literature included in this review. Each selected article underwent a comprehensive review by multiple researchers to ensure a consensus on its relevance, scholarly rigor, and alignment with the study's objectives. The iterative nature of this process aimed to minimize bias and enhance the credibility of the selected literature.

The methodology adopted in this study reflects a commitment to scholarly excellence, employing systematic approaches to literature search, selection, and analysis. This methodological rigor ensures the reliability and validity of the findings presented in subsequent sections.

4. Findings

The synthesis of academic literature reveals a nuanced landscape concerning the intersection of artificial intelligence (AI) and academic integrity within the domain of higher education. The findings, derived from a comprehensive analysis of scholarly works, offer profound insights into the multifaceted implications of AI tools on academic practices and pedagogy.

Impact on Academic Dishonesty

The literature underscores the transformative influence of AI on the landscape of academic dishonesty within higher education. Instances of plagiarism, automated content generation, and real-time assistance during examinations have burgeoned, challenging established norms of academic probity (Kumar, 2023). The symbiotic relationship between college students and AI unfolds as a complex interplay, demanding scrutiny into the ethical dimensions of

collaboration and the potential erosion of academic integrity (George & Wooden, 2023).

Pedagogical Implications

Beyond its role in academic dishonesty, AI's integration in education is examined for its pedagogical implications. The literature explores the enrichment of teaching methodologies through AI-driven technologies, including personalized feedback mechanisms and adaptation to diverse learning styles specific to educational studies (Bhutoria, 2022). The delicate balance between human intuition and machine precision requires careful calibration to harness the full potential of AI in fostering effective and inclusive pedagogical practices within higher education (Malik et al., 2023).

Academic Integrity Policies and Ethical Considerations

The escalating prevalence of academic transgressions facilitated by AI within education prompts a reevaluation of academic integrity policies. Institutions are urged to recalibrate policies, circumscribing acceptable collaborative practices and instating robust mechanisms for plagiarism detection specific to higher education (Weingart et al., 2020). The recalibration of academic integrity policies represents a crucial step toward safeguarding the educational landscape from the potential erosive effects of AI on traditional notions of academic honesty.

Cultivating a Culture of Academic Integrity in Higher Education

The cultivation of a culture animated by academic integrity emerges as paramount within higher education. Fostering a sense of responsibility and ethical comportment among university and college students becomes imperative, instigating open dialogues germane to the implications of AI tailored for scholarly studies (Eggert, 2021). The cultivation of ethical values within the academic community is fundamental to mitigating the potential “dumbing down” effect and preserving the core tenets of academic integrity.

Future Research Directions

The dynamic intersection of artificial intelligence and university pedagogy warrants continued exploration and research. Future investigations within educational studies could delve into specific AI applications, such as data analytics and decision support systems, to discern their nuanced effects on college and university student learning outcomes and academic honesty. The identification of practical applications, limitations, and avenues for future research provides a comprehensive framework for stakeholders within higher education to navigate the evolving landscape of AI integration.

5. Recommendations

Promoting Digital Literacy

To counterbalance the challenges wrought by AI, institutions of higher learning are urged to accord primacy to the formulation of robust digital literacy programs. Such initiatives ought to foster acumen among both students and educators vis-a-vis judicious AI use, contextualize the ethical considerations attendant to AI-generated content, and accentuate the primacy of critical thinking as “digital technologies are frequently considered as lacking material aspects” (Wellner, 2020, p.1) within the digital pantheon.

Digital literacy, herein posited as an imperious imperative, constitutes an instrumental mechanism for mitigating the deleterious impact of AI on academic integrity. Its elevation, through comprehensive programs, serves to edify both students and educators regarding the intricacies of AI technologies and their attendant ethical considerations, thus instilling a discerning aptitude for navigating the digital expanse (Won, 2023).

Strengthening Academic Integrity Policies

In response to the burgeoning prevalence of academic transgressions facilitated by AI, educational institutions must meticulously recalibrate extant academic integrity policies. This necessitates the explicit delineation of guidelines pertinent to AI tool usage, the circumscription of acceptable

collaborative practices, and the instatement of robust mechanisms for plagiarism detection (Shata et al., 2023).

The recommendations promulgated within this ambit aspire to proffer a framework whereby institutions may fortify their extant academic integrity policies. Anticipation of and responsiveness to technological advancements stand as keystones for educational institutions in their endeavors to sustain standards of academic excellence, concurrently availing themselves of the benefits afforded by AI (Mustapha et al., 2023).

Fostering a Culture of Academic Integrity

In concert with policy reforms, the inculcation of a culture animated by academic integrity emerges as paramount. This necessitates the cultivation of a sense of responsibility and ethical comportment amongst students, the instigation of open dialogues germane to the implications of AI, and a relentless emphasis on the intrinsic value of the learning process, transcending mere academic outcomes (Purnama & Asdlori, 2023).

This section articulates the imperative cultural shift requisite within educational bastions for the institutionalization of a steadfast commitment to academic integrity. By nurturing a cultural milieu that venerates honesty, collaboration, and intellectual maturation, institutions can counteract the incipient “dumbing down” effect occasioned by the increasing symbiosis between AI and higher education (Indrawati & Kuncoro, 2021).

6. Practical Applications, Implications, Limitations, and Future Research Directions

Practical Applications and Implications

The insights derived from this research bear implications for the practical landscape of higher education and artificial intelligence integration. Institutions can leverage these findings to inform the development of targeted interventions and policies that address the challenges posed by AI on academic integrity. Specifically, the recommendations for promoting digital

literacy, strengthening academic integrity policies, and fostering a culture of academic integrity can be implemented to uphold and enhance the quality of education.

Educational institutions may consider implementing digital literacy programs to equip both students and educators with the necessary skills to navigate AI technologies responsibly. By integrating ethical considerations into the curriculum, institutions can prepare students to engage with AI tools ethically and critically.

Strengthening academic integrity policies, as recommended, involves explicit guidelines on the use of AI tools and robust plagiarism detection mechanisms. This proactive approach can serve as a deterrent to academic dishonesty facilitated by AI, ensuring a fair and transparent academic environment.

Fostering a culture of academic integrity requires a concerted effort from educational institutions to instill values of honesty, responsibility, and ethical conduct. Initiatives such as open dialogues and awareness campaigns can contribute to creating an environment where academic integrity is not only expected but celebrated.

Limitations

It is imperative to acknowledge the inherent limitations within the framework of this literature review, centered on the analysis of academic works. While the depth of analysis delves into various aspects of artificial intelligence (AI) in education, it is crucial to recognize the inherent boundaries that shape the scope of this research.

—Diverse Array of AI Applications

The evolving landscape of AI applications is vast and diverse, presenting a challenge in capturing the entirety of its effects on academic integrity within higher education. The literature selected for analysis may not comprehensively encompass the myriad applications and emerging technologies that continually reshape the intersection of AI and education.

—Rapid Evolution of AI Technologies

The rapid evolution of AI technologies introduces a temporal constraint to this review. As new tools, methods, and ethical considerations emerge, the findings presented herein may become subject to obsolescence. The dynamism of AI necessitates ongoing scrutiny and adaptability to stay abreast of the latest developments in the field.

—Contextual Constraints

The generalizability of findings is inherently constrained by the specific demographic and institutional context in which the reviewed literature was produced. Variations in educational systems, cultural contexts, and institutional frameworks may influence the applicability of insights derived from the literature to different settings within education.

—Subjectivity in Academic Discourse

While the analysis is rooted in scholarly discourse, the subjective nature of academic perspectives may introduce an element of bias. The interpretations and insights drawn from academic works inherently reflect the diverse viewpoints within the scholarly community, and as such, may not capture the entirety of the multifaceted relationship between AI and academic integrity in tertiary education.

—Qualitative Nuances

The reliance on qualitative insights derived from academic literature may not fully capture the nuanced nature of AI's impact on academic integrity. While the literature provides rich qualitative data, it is essential to recognize that certain dimensions of this complex relationship may require further exploration through direct empirical studies and experiential accounts.

In navigating these limitations, this literature review serves as a foundational exploration into the multifaceted terrain of AI and academic integrity within education. Future research endeavors are encouraged to address these limitations, offering more nuanced perspectives and refining our understanding of the intricate dynamics between AI technologies and the preservation of academic integrity.

—Future Research Directions

The dynamic intersection of artificial intelligence and higher education warrants continued exploration and research. Future investigations could delve into specific AI applications, such as adaptive learning systems and intelligent tutoring, to discern their nuanced effects on student learning outcomes and academic honesty.

In-depth examinations of the ethical considerations surrounding AI in education, including issues of bias, privacy, and algorithmic transparency, present fertile ground for future research endeavors. Understanding the long-term impact of AI on educational equity and access is imperative for crafting policies that ensure fairness and inclusivity.

Research that explores the pedagogical implications of AI integration can contribute valuable insights into how educators can harness these technologies to enhance teaching methodologies and adapt to diverse learning styles. Additionally, investigating the role of AI in shaping the future of work and the skills required for the digital era is a burgeoning area of interest.

As AI technologies continue to advance, research on innovative strategies to mitigate biases, enhance interpretability, and ensure the responsible deployment of these tools will be pivotal. Longitudinal studies tracking the evolution of AI's impact on academic integrity over time can provide a comprehensive understanding of the evolving dynamics in higher education.

7. Bibliography

- Bhutoria, A. (2022). Personalized education and Artificial Intelligence in the United States, China, and India: A systematic review using a Human-In-The-Loop model. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3, 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100068>
- Bozdog, A. A. (2023). AIsmosis and the pas de deux of human-AI interaction: Exploring the communicative dance between society and artificial intelligence. *Online Journal of Communication and Media Technologies*, 13(4), 1-26. <https://doi.org/10.30935/ojcm/13414>
- Eggert, K. (2021). How artificial intelligence will shape universities of tomorrow. *Universities of Tomorrow: Global, Interdisciplinary, Journal of Ethics in Higher Education* 3 (2023)

Digitized, Sustainable (UNITO) 2021 International Conference, 50–53.

Fan, D., Breslin, D., Callahan, J. L., & Iszatt-White, M. (2022). Advancing literature review methodology through rigour, generativity, scope and transparency. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 24(2), 171–180. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.12291>

George, B., & Wooden, O. (2023). Managing the Strategic Transformation of Higher Education through Artificial Intelligence. *Administrative Sciences*, 13(9), 196. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci13090196>

Graf, A. (2023). Exploring the Role of Personalization in Adaptive Learning Environments. *International Journal Software Engineering and Computer Science(IJSECS)*,3(2),50–56. <https://doi.org/10.35870/ijsecs.v3i2.1200>

Indrawati, S. M., & Kuncoro, A. (2021). Improving Competitiveness Through Vocational and Higher Education: Indonesia's Vision For Human Capital Development In 2019–2024. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*,57(1),29–59. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00074918.2021.1909692>

Kim, J. (2023). Leading teachers' perspective on teacher-AI collaboration in education. *Education and Information Technologies*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-12109-5>

Kraglund-Gauthier, W. (2015). Book Review- The New Digital Shoreline: How Web 2.0 and Millennials are Revolutionizing Higher Education. *Journal of Teaching and Learning with Technology*, 4(1), 80–82. <https://doi.org/10.14434/jotlt.v4n1.13497>

Kumar, D. (2023). How Emerging Technologies are Transforming Education and Research: Trends, Opportunities, and Challenges. *Infinite Horizons: Exploring the Unknown*, 89–117.

Lazarus, M. D., Truong, M., Douglas, P., & Selwyn, N. (2022). Artificial intelligence and clinical anatomical education: Promises and perils.

Anatomical Sciences Education, 2022, 1-14.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/ase.2221>

- Leoste, J., Jögi, L., Õun, T., Pastor, L., San Martín López, J., & Grauberg, I. (2021). Perceptions about the Future of Integrating Emerging Technologies into Higher Education—The Case of Robotics with Artificial Intelligence. *Computers*, 10(9), 110-124. <https://doi.org/10.3390/computers10090110>
- Leslie, D. (2020). Tackling COVID-19 through Responsible AI Innovation: Five Steps in the Right Direction License. *Harvard Data Science Review*, 10.
- Lim, T., Gottipati, S., & Cheong, M. L. F. (2023). Ethical Considerations for Artificial Intelligence in Educational Assessments. In *Creative AI Tools and Ethical Implications in Teaching and Learning* (pp. 32–79). <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-0205-7.ch003>
- Lin, C.-C., Huang, A. Y. Q., & Lu, O. H. T. (2023). Artificial intelligence in intelligent tutoring systems toward sustainable education: a systematic review. *Smart Learning Environments*, 10(1), 41. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-023-00260-y>
- Littman, M. L., Ajunwa, I., Berger, G., Boutilier, C., Currie, M., Doshi-Velez, F., Hadfield, G., Horowitz, M. C., Isbell, C., Kitano, H., Levy, K., Lyons, T., Mitchell, M., Shah, J., Sloman, S., Vallor, S., & Walsh, T. (2022). Gathering Strength, Gathering Storms: The One Hundred Year Study on Artificial Intelligence (AI100) 2021 Study Panel Report. *ArXiv*, September, 1–82. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2210.15767>
- Magnússon, G., Göransson, K., & Lindqvist, G. (2019). Contextualizing inclusive education in educational policy: the case of Sweden. *Nordic Journal of Studies in Educational Policy*, 5(2), 67–77. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20020317.2019.1586512>
- Malik, A. R., Pratiwi, Y., Andajani, K., Numertayasa, I. W., Suharti, S., Darwis, A., & Marzuki. (2023). Exploring Artificial Intelligence in Academic Essay: Higher Education Student’s Perspective.

International Journal of Educational Research Open, 5, 100296.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2023.100296>

- Miao, F., & Holmes, W. (2021). *Artificial Intelligence and Education. Guidance for Policy-makers. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)*.
- Mills, K. A., Unsworth, L., & Scholes, L. (2022). *Literacy for Digital Futures*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003137368>
- Moncaleano, S., & Russell, M. (2018). A Historical Analysis of Technological Advances to Educational Testing: A Drive for Efficiency and the Interplay with Validity. *Journal of Applied Testing Technology*, 19(1), 1–19. www.jattjournal.com
- Mustapha, S. M. F. D. S., Evangelista, E., & Marir, F. (2023). Towards Designing a Knowledge Sharing System for Higher Learning Institutions in the UAE Based on the Social Feature Framework. *Sustainability*, 15(22), 15990. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su152215990>
- Purnama, Y., & Asdlori, A. (2023). The Role of Social Media in Students' Social Perception and Interaction: Implications for Learning and Education. *Technology and Society Perspectives (TACIT)*, 1(2), 45–55. <https://doi.org/10.61100/tacit.v1i2.50>
- Shamkuwar, M., & Sharma, D. (2023). Education 4.0: Artificial Intelligence Dimensions. In *Advancements in Artificial Intelligence, Blockchain Technology, and IoT in Higher Education: Mitigating the Impact of COVID-19*.
- Shata, A., Haitaamar, Z. N., & Benkrid, A. (2023). Baseline Estimation in Face Detection for AI Proctored Examinations through Convolutional Neural Networks. *2023 International Conference on IT Innovation and Knowledge Discovery (ITIKD)*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ITIKD56332.2023.10100328>
- Simon, W. (2023). Distinguishing between student and AI-generated writing: A critical reflection for teachers. *Metaphor*, 3(2023), 16–23.

<https://search.informit.org/doi/abs/10.3316/informit.274843605275892>

- Tahir, A., & Tahir, A. (2023). AI-driven Advancements in ESL Learner Autonomy: Investigating Student Attitudes Towards Virtual Assistant Usability. *Linguistic Forum: A Journal of Linguistics*, 5(2), 50–56.
- Weingart, S. B., Burton, M., Lavin, M., & Otis, J. (2020). Digits: Two Reports on New Units of Scholarly Publication. *The Journal of Electronic Publishing*, 22(1).
<https://doi.org/https://hcommons.org/deposits/item/hc:31883>
- Wellner, G. (2020). Material hermeneutic of digital technologies in the age of AI. *AI & SOCIETY*, 0123456789. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-020-00952-w>
- Won, D. (2023). *Teaching digital-multimodal composition for digital-born students: Exploring pedagogical applications of interactive narrative media*. Texas Woman’s University.

8. Short biography

Dr David Fowler is an Assistant Professor of Management for the Louisiana State University (LSU) System, at LSU-Shreveport’s College of Business, in the United-States, where he is teaching graduate and undergraduate courses in management and organizational strategy. Previously he served as Chair of the Department of Business Administration at Newberry College.

He received his Ph.D. in Human Resource Development: Organizational Development and Change from the University of Texas at Tyler, M.B.A. in Management from Mississippi State University, M.S. in Hospitality Management from New Mexico State University, B.B.A. in Management from the University of Louisiana at Monroe. His doctoral research and dissertation focused on transitional and transformational leadership within organizational change.

Email: david.fowler@lsus.edu